Language, Mind, and Brain (LING 3350) - Fall 2024

Prerequisites: LING 2100, LING 2100E, or LING 2100H

Course meeting times

Class meeting time:	Tuesdays & Thursdays, 9:35–10:50 am
Class meeting location:	Miller Learning Center 0275

Instructor information

Instructor:	Prof. Steven Foley (any pronouns)
<u>Email</u> :	srfoley@uga.edu I'll respond to emails within 24 hours
Office hours:	Mondays, 2:00–4:00 pm and by appointment Gilbert Hall 118A
Website:	https://stevenrfoley.github.io/

Course description and details

An introduction to the field of neurolinguistics. Examining the neural basis of human language, the course relates data from techniques like neuroimaging and electrophysiology to computational models across multiple levels of analysis, including phonology, morphology, syntax, and semantics.

Learning outcomes

Upon successful completion of this course you should be able to:

- Understand basic principles of the cognitive neuroscience of language
- Connect neural data with linguistic theory and analysis
- Interpret and evaluate findings from the primary neurolinguistic literature

This course also fulfills the following University-wide learning outcomes:

- Students will be able to express ideas in writing with clarity and fluency.
- Have the ability to express, manipulate, and apply mathematical information, concepts, and thoughts using appropriate mathematical forms, including numeric, graphical, verbal, and symbolic forms for solving a variety of problems
- Explain how knowledge is constructed in the sciences using the scientific method.
- Locate and evaluate reliable sources of scientific evidence to construct arguments, to apply scientific knowledge, and to critically assess real-world issues
- Express and manipulate quantitative information, concepts, and thoughts in verbal, numeric, graphical, computational, and symbolic form to frame and devise a solution to a problem
- Evaluate conclusions drawn from or decisions based on quantitative data

Course topics

- Aspects of neuroanatomy relevant to language
- Research methods applicable to neurolinguistics
- Neural correlates of linguistic processing, at multiple levels of analysis

Required course materials

<u>Textbook</u> :	Kemmerer,	David.	2014.	Cognitive	Neuroscience	of	Language.
	Psychology	Press. IS	BN: 97	8-1-84872-	620-8.		
Additional materials:	All readings	(includi	ng textb	ook chapter	rs) will be poste	d or	n eLC

Assessment and grading

Course assignments and requirements

Attendance and active participation in lecture	15%	Rolling
Attend office hours	5%	At least once
Homework assignments and quizzes	40%	About six throughout the semester
Submit discussion/review questions	5%	Ahead of Oct 1 and Nov 26
Midterm exam	15%	In class, tentatively Oct 3
Final exam	20%	Dec 5, 8:00–11:00 am

See eLC for more information about each course requirement.

Missed exams, late assignments, and regrading requests

Group projects, your individual paper, and your final paper, are due at 11:59 pm ET on their respective due dates, unless otherwise instructed. These items may be turned in after the deadline, but you will be eligible for fewer points once the deadline has passed: you will only be eligible for 95% of the total grade if it is submitted by 3 am that night, and you will lose an additional 10% from the total you are eligible to earn for every 12 hour period it is late thereafter. Papers more than three days late will earn a grade of 0.

Extensions will not generally be permitted, but if you think you are subject to an exceptional circumstance, please discuss it with me outside of class or by emailing me at least 24 hours before the original deadline.

Final grades

A	95–100	C+	76–79
A-	90–94	С	73–75
B+	86–89	С-	70–72
В	83-85	D	60–69
B-	80-82	F	<60

Final grades will be rounded to the nearest whole number (e.g. 89.5 to 90, and 89.4 to 89).

Course statements and policies

UGA honor code

"I will be academically honest in all of my academic work and will not tolerate academic dishonesty of others." A Culture of Honesty, the University's policy and procedures for handling cases of suspected dishonesty, can be found at <u>honesty.uga.edu</u>.

Honesty and transparency are important features of good scholarship. On the flip side, plagiarism and cheating are serious academic offenses with serious consequences. If you are discovered engaging in either behavior in this course, I will follow the procedures laid out in UGA's Academic Honesty Policy. There you can also find more information about what counts as prohibited conduct.

I encourage you to work together on homework assignments and to make use of campus resources like the Office of Student Success & Achievement and the Writing Center. While collaboration is encouraged, *each student must submit a unique assignment* reflecting their own work.

If you have questions about my integration of the Student Code of Conduct into this course, please do not hesitate to ask: my aim is to foster an environment where you can learn and grow, while ensuring that the work we all do is honest and fair.

Accommodation for disabilities

If you plan to request accommodations for a disability, please register with the Disability Resource Center. They can be reached by visiting Clark Howell Hall, calling 706-542-8719 (voice) or 706-542-8778 (TTY), or by visiting <u>http://drc.uga.edu</u>.

Attendance & participation policy

Class participation is a very important part of the learning process in this course. Although not explicitly graded, you will be evaluated on the *quality* of your contributions and insights. Quality comments possess one or more of the following properties:

- Offers a different and unique, but relevant, perspective;
- Contributes to moving the discussion and analysis forward;
- Builds on other comments;
- Transcends the "I feel" syndrome. That is, it includes some evidence, argumentation, or recognition of inherent tradeoffs. In other words, the comment demonstrates some reflective thinking.

We will use our assessment of your participation to manage borderline grades. While your participation grade is subjective, it will not be random or arbitrary. And, clearly, more frequent quality comments are better than less frequent quality comments.

Use of AI in this course

UGA's policy is that the use of AI for coursework is not permitted unless explicitly authorized by me (your course instructor) ahead of time. In this course, to ensure you develop and master the foundational knowledge and skills in this course, the use of generative AI (GAI) tools is strictly prohibited. This includes all stages of your work process, even the preliminary ones. This prohibition extends to AI writing tools like Grammarly and Wordtune, as well as GAI tools like ChatGPT, Copilot, Writesonic, Rytr, and Rtutor. If you are uncertain about using a particular tool to support your work, please consult with me before using it.

Well-being resources

UGA Well-being Resources promote student success by cultivating a culture that supports a more active, healthy, and engaged student community.

Anyone needing assistance is encouraged to contact Student Care & Outreach (SCO) in the Division of Student Affairs at 706-542-8479 or visit <u>sco.uga.edu</u>. Student Care & Outreach helps students navigate difficult circumstances by connecting them with the most appropriate resources or services. They also administer the <u>Embark@UGA</u> program which supports students experiencing, or who have experienced, homelessness, foster care, or housing insecurity.

UGA provides both clinical and non-clinical options to support student well-being and mental health, any time, any place. Whether on campus, or studying from home or abroad, UGA Well-being Resources are here to help.

- Well-being Resources: <u>well-being.uga.edu</u>
- Student Care and Outreach: <u>sco.uga.edu</u>
- University Health Center: <u>healthcenter.uga.edu</u>
- Counseling and Psychiatric Services: <u>caps.uga.edu</u> or CAPS 24/7 crisis support at 706-542-2273
- Health Promotion/ Fontaine Center: <u>healthpromotion.uga.edu</u>
- Disability Resource Center and Testing Services: drc.uga.edu

Additional information, including free digital well-being resources, can be accessed through the UGA app or by visiting <u>https://well-being.uga.edu</u>.

Disclaimer

The course syllabus is a general plan for the course; deviations announced to the class by the instructor may be necessary.

Date	Торіс	Action items
Th, Aug 15	Introduction	 Student survey Read Brennan (2022, ch 1), Friederici (2017, pp 5–8)
Tu, Aug 20	Neuroeneterrer	• Read Kemmerer (2014, ch 1)
Th, Aug 22	Neuroanatomy	• HW1 assigned; submit by Aug 29
Tu, Aug 27	Methods	• Read Kemmerer (2014, ch 2); Optional: Brennan (2022, ch 2)
Th, Aug 29		
Tu, Sep 3	Anhasia	• Read Kemmerer (2014, ch 3)
Th, Sep 5	Apnasia	• Read Kemmerer (2014, ch 4)
Tu, Sep 10	Speech processing	Read Brennan (2022, ch 3)HW2 assigned; due by Sep 17
Th, Sep 12		• Read Mesgarani et al (2014)
Tu, Sep 17	Is speech special?	• Read Brennan (2022, ch 4); Optional: Poeppel (2001)
Th, Sep 19	Visual wordform processing	Read Kemmerer (2014, ch 8)HW3 assigned; due by Sep 26
Tu, Sep 24	Lexical access	• Read Brennan (2022, ch 5)
Th, Sep 26	Speech production	Read Kemmerer (2014, ch 6)Submit review questions by Sep 29
Tu, Oct 1	Review session	
Th, Oct 3	Midterm exam (in class)	
Tu, Oct 8	Visual wordform processing	• Read Kemmerer (2014, ch 8)
Th, Oct 10	Reading and writing	
Tu, Oct 15	Processing morphology	• Read Kemmerer (2014, ch 13)
Th, Oct 17	Morphosyntactic representations	• Read Gwilliams & Marantz (2018)
Tu, Oct 22	Structure and prediction	• Read Brennan (2022, ch 7)
Th, Oct 24	Composing sentences	• Read Brennan (2022, ch 8)
Tu, Oct 29	Hierarchical structures	• Read Ding et al. (2016)
Th, Oct 31	Agent identification	• Read Bornkessel-Schlesewsky & Schlesewsky (2009, §9.3)
Tu, Nov 5	Processing across languages	• Malik-Moraleda et al. (2022)
Th, Nov 7	Long-distance dependencies	• Read Brennan (2022, ch 9), Matchin et al. (2014)
Tu, Nov 12	Multilingualism	• Read Blanco-Elorrieta & Pylkkänen (2018)

Course schedule and activities

Th, Nov 14	Sign language	• Read Kemmerer (2018, ch 9), Meyer et al. (2007)	
Tu, Nov 19		• Read Kemmerer (2019, ch 6)	
Th, Nov 21	Whorfianism in the brain	Read Fedorenko & Varley (2016)Submit review questions by Nov 24	
Tu, Nov 26	Review session		
Th, Dec 5	Final exam: 8:00–11:00 am, MLC 0275		

Readings

- Amici, Serena, Simona M. Brambati, David P. Wilkins, Jennifer Ogar, Nina L. Dronkers, Bruce L. Miller, and Maria Luisa Gorno-Tempini. 2007. Anatomical correlates of sentence comprehension and verbal working memory in neurodegenerative disease. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*, 27(23): 6282–90.
- Barton, Brian, Jonathan H. Venezia, Kourosh Saberi, Gregory Hickok, and Alyssa A. Brewer. 2012. Orthogonal acoustic dimensions define auditory field maps in human cortex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 109 (50): 20738–43.
- Blanco-Elorrieta, Esti, and Liina Pylkkänen. 2018. Ecological validity in bilingualism research and the bilingual advantage. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 22 (12): 1117–26.
- Bornkessel-Schlesewsky, Ina & Matthias Schlesewsky. 2009. *Processing Syntax and Morphology:* A Neurocognitive Perspective. Oxford University Press.
- Brennan, Jonathan R. 2022. Language and the Brain: A Slim Guide to Neurolinguistics. Oxford University Press.
- Buchweitz, Augusto, Svetlana V. Shinkareva, Robert A. Mason, Tom M. Mitchell, & Marcel Adam Just. 2012. Identifying bilingual semantic neural representations across languages. *Brain and Language*, 120(3):282 – 289. DOI: 10.1016/j.bandl.2011.09.003.
- Buzsáki, György. 2006. Rhythms of the Brain. Oxford University Press.
- Dikker, Suzanne, Hugh Rabagliati, Thomas Farmer, and Liina Pylkkänen. 2010. Early occipital sensitivity to syntactic category is based on form typicality. *Psychological Science*, 21(5): 629–34.
- Ding, Nai, Lucia Melloni, Hang Zhang, Xing Tian, & David Poeppel. 2016. Cortical tracking of hierarchical linguistic structures in connected speech. *Nature Neuroscience*, 19(1):158–164. DOI: 10.1038/nn.4186.
- Fedorenko, Evelina & Rosemary Varley. 2016. Language and thought are not the same thing: Evidence from neuroimaging and neurological patients. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1369(1):132–153.
- Frankland, Steven M. & Joshua D. Greene. 2015. An architecture for encoding sentence meaning in left mid-superior temporal cortex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(37): 11732–11737. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1421236112.
- Friederici, Angela D. 2017. Language in Our Brain: The Origins of a Uniquely Human Capacity. MIT Press.
- Fyshe, Alona, Leila Wehbe, & Brian Murphy. 2017. Decoding language from the brain. In Aline Villavicencio and Thierry Poibeau (eds.), *Language, Cognition, and Computational Models*. 53–80. Cambridge University Press.

- Guest, Olivia, and Andrea E. Martin. 2023. On logical inference over brains, behaviour, and artificial neural networks. *Computational Brain & Behavior*, February.
- Gwilliams, L., and A. Marantz. 2015. Non-linear processing of a linear speech stream: The influence of morphological structure on the recognition of spoken Arabic words. *Brain and Language*, 147(0): 1–13.
- Jonas, Eric, and Konrad Paul Kording. 2017. Could a neuroscientist understand a microprocessor? Edited by Jörn Diedrichsen. *PLOS Computational Biology*, 13 (1): e1005268.
- Kemmerer, David. 2015. Cognitive Neuroscience of Language. Psychology Press.
- Kemmerer, David. 2019. Concepts in the Brain: The View From Cross-linguistic Diversity. Oxford University Press.
- Klimovich-Gray, Anastasia, Lorraine K. Tyler, Billi Randall, Ece Kocagoncu, Barry Devereux, and William D. Marslen-Wilson. 2019. Balancing prediction and sensory input in speech comprehension: The spatiotemporal dynamics of word recognition in context. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*, 39(3): 519–27.
- Kocagoncu, Ece, Alex Clarke, Barry J. Devereux, & Lorraine K. Tyler. 2017. Decoding the cortical dynamics of sound-meaning mapping. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 37(5):1312–1319. DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2858-16.2016.
- Leonard, Matthew K., Naja Ferjan Ramirez, Christina Torres, Katherine E. Travis, Marla Hatrak, Rachel I. Mayberry, and Eric Halgren. 2012. Signed words in the congenitally deaf evoke typical late lexicosemantic responses with no early visual responses in left superior temporal cortex. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*, 32(28): 9700–9705.
- Leonard, Matthew K., Laura Gwilliams, Kristin K. Sellers, Jason E. Chung, Duo Xu, Gavin Mischler, Nima Mesgarani, Marleen Welkenhuysen, Barundeb Dutta, and Edward F. Chang. 2023. Large-scale single-neuron speech sound encoding across the depth of human cortex. *Nature*, December, 1–10.
- Malik-Moraleda, Saima, Dima Ayyash, Jeanne Gallée, Josef Affourtit, Malte Hoffmann, Zachary Mineroff, Olessia Jouravlev, and Evelina Fedorenko. 2022. An investigation across 45 languages and 12 language families reveals a universal language network. *Nature Neuroscience*, 25(8): 1014–19.
- Matchin, William and Gregory Hickok. 2019. The cortical organization of syntax. *Cerebral Cortex*, 10. DOI: 10.1093/cercor/bhz180.
- Matchin, William, Jon Sprouse, and Gregory Hickok. 2014. A structural distance effect for backward anaphora in Broca's Area: An fMRI study. *Brain and Language*, 138(November): 1–11.
- Mesgarani, Nima, Connie Cheung, Keith Johnson, & Edward F. Chang. 2014. Phonetic feature encoding in human superior temporal gyrus. *Science*, 343(6174):1006–1010. DOI: 10.1126/science. 1245994.
- Meyer, Lars. 2018. The neural oscillations of speech processing and language comprehension: State of the art and emerging mechanisms. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 48(7):2609–2621. DOI: 10.1111/ejn.13748.
- Pallier, Christophe, Anne-Dominique Devauchelle, & Stanislas Dehaene. 2011. Cortical representation of the constituent structure of sentences. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108(6): 2522–2527.

- Patterson, Karalyn, Peter J. Nestor, and Timothy T. Rogers. 2007. Where do you know what you know? The representation of semantic knowledge in the human brain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 8(12): 976–87.
- Poeppel, David. 2001. Pure word deafness and the bilateral processing of the speech code. *Cognitive Science*, 25(5): 679–93.
- Rodrigues, João António, Ruben Branco, João Silva, Chakaveh Saedi, & António Branco. 2018. Predicting brain activation with wordnet embeddings. In *Proceedings of the Eight Workshop* on Cognitive Aspects of Computational Language Learning and Processing. 1–5. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Rogalsky, Corianne, Tracy Love, David Driscoll, Steven W. Anderson, and Gregory Hickok. 2011. Are mirror neurons the basis of speech perception? Evidence from five cases with damage to the purported human mirror system. *Neurocase*, 17(2): 178–87.
- Rumiati, Raffaella I., Sergio Zanini, Lorenza Vorano, and Tim Shallice. 2001. A form of ideational apraxia as a delective deficit of contention scheduling. *Cognitive Neuropsychology*, 18(7): 617–42.
- Saoud, Houda, Goulven Josse, Eric Bertasi, Eric Truy, Maria Chait, and Anne-Lise Giraud. 2012.
 Brain-speech alignment enhances auditory cortical responses and speech perception. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*, 32(1): 275–81.
 Scinesuchi Terrenez L 2018. *The Deep Learning Perception*. MIT Press.
- Sejnowski, Terrence J. 2018. The Deep Learning Revolution. MIT Press.
- Wehbe, Leila, Brian Murphy, Partha Talukdar, Alona Fyshe, Aaditya Ramdas, & Tom Mitchell. 2014. Simultaneously uncovering the patterns of brain regions involved in different story reading sub-processes. *PLOS One*, 9(11):e112575.
- Van Berkum, Jos J. A., Danielle van den Brink, Cathelijne M. J. Y. Tesink, Miriam Kos, and Peter Hagoort. 2008. The neural integration of speaker and message. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 20(4): 580–91.
- Zaccarella, Emiliano, Marianne Schell, and Angela D. Friederici. 2017. Reviewing the functional basis of the syntactic Merge mechanism for language: A coordinate-based activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 80(September): 646–56.
- Zhang, Linmin, and Liina Pylkkänen. 2015. The interplay of composition and concept specificity in the left anterior temporal lobe: An MEG study. *NeuroImage*, 111(May): 228–40.